

KEK-TH-364
KEK preprint 93
UT-649
July 1993

String Field Theory of Noncritical Strings

NOBUYUKI ISHIBASHI[★] AND HIKARU KAWAI[†]

[★] *KEK Theory Group, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan*

[†] *Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan*

ABSTRACT

We construct the Hamiltonian operator of the string field theory for $c = 0$ string theory. It describes how strings evolve in the coordinate frame, which is defined by using the geodesic distance on the worldsheet. The Hamiltonian consists of three-string interaction terms and a tadpole term. We show that one can derive the loop amplitudes of $c = 0$ string theory from this Hamiltonian.

The matrix model provides the most powerful technique for investigating noncritical string theories. The double scaling limit [1] made it possible for us to discuss the sum of string perturbation series. Therefore, for noncritical strings, the matrix model gives us some clues about nonperturbative string effects. On the other hand, for critical string theories, the string field theory [2] is supposed to be the most promising nonperturbative formulation of strings. Since noncritical string theories can be considered as toy models of critical strings, it is important to see what one can say about the string field theory approach from the point of view of the matrix model formulation of noncritical strings.

In this letter, we will construct a string field theory for $c = 0$ string theory. In order to do so, one should specify a way to cut string worldsheets into fundamental pieces, i.e., propagator, vertex etc. This can be done by introducing a time coordinate on the worldsheets. Here we will use the time coordinate discussed in [3] and its generalization. This time coordinate is a quite natural one in the framework of the dynamical triangulation and the matrix model. We will construct the string field Hamiltonian operator corresponding to this time coordinate. Our Hamiltonian includes only three string vertices and a tadpole. In the latter part of this letter, we will show that we can derive the string amplitudes using this string field Hamiltonian. Remarkably, the Schwinger-Dyson equation of this string field theory naturally yields the Virasoro constraints [4] deduced from the matrix model.

Let us first briefly recall the definition of the time coordinate in [3]. Suppose a disk with a metric. One can define the time coordinate of a point as the geodesic distance from the boundary loop. Locally, the metric looks like

$$g_{00} = 1, \quad g_{01} = g_{10} = 0, \quad (1)$$

in such a coordinate frame. This ADM-type gauge choice is apparently more complicated compared to the conformal gauge and there is no advantage in taking it in the continuum framework. However, as was elucidated by the authors of [3], such a definition of time is rather natural in the framework of the dynamical triangulation. They defined the operation called “one-step deformation” of a loop, which exactly coincides with the discrete evolution of the loop in the coordinate frame considered here. In [3], the authors showed that this “one-step deformation” has a well-defined continuum limit in the pure gravity case.

The disk can be considered as a closed string tadpole graph, where an incident string disappears. First we restrict ourselves to this disk string amplitude. We would like to construct the string field “Hamiltonian” corresponding to the time defined above and express the disk partition function in terms of this Hamiltonian. As is clear from the above paragraph, the easiest way to do so is to construct a discrete Hamiltonian which describes the one-step deformation on a triangulated disk and then take the continuum limit. Let us look at the evolution of the boundary loop (or the incident string in the

string terminology) on a triangulated disk. By just a short look at such an evolution, one can easily see that only the following two kinds of processes can occur:

1. The string splits into several strings,
2. The string disappears.

We would like to construct a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ representing such processes in the continuum limit, where $c = 0$ string theory is realized. In order to do so, it is convenient to consider the creation and the annihilation operators of strings. Let $\Psi^\dagger(l)$ ($\Psi(l)$) be the creation (annihilation) operator which satisfies

$$[\Psi(l), \Psi^\dagger(l')] = \delta(l - l'). \quad (2)$$

These operators create or annihilate a string with the length l . To be precise, $\Psi^\dagger$ create a loop with one marked point and Ψ annihilate a loop with no marked point. They act on the Hilbert space generated from the vacuum $|0\rangle$ and $\langle 0|$:

$$\Psi(l)|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Psi^\dagger(l) = 0.$$

Then the two kinds of processes above would be expressed by the terms of the form $(\Psi^\dagger)^n \Psi$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) in $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$. The disk amplitude corresponds to a process where the incident string eventually disappears after the time evolution dictated by the Hamiltonian. The disk partition function will be expressed as

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0|e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}}\Psi^\dagger(l)|0\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Here D is the Euclidean proper time, which we choose to be the time defined above.

The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ may be derived by constructing the full discrete Hamiltonian through a brute force calculation and taking the continuum limit. However, without doing much calculation, we can almost fix the form of $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ by the following arguments. The terms in $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ are the ones which survive the continuum limit. Therefore they should have the appropriate scaling dimension. Because of eq.(3), $\Psi^\dagger(l)$ should have the same scaling dimension as that of the disk partition function. If one expresses the dimension of l by L , $[\Psi^\dagger] = L^{-5/2}$ for $c = 0$ [5]. Or in other words, it should scale as $\epsilon^{5/2}$ when the lattice spacing ϵ approaches 0 in the continuum limit. Eq.(2) implies that $[\Psi] = L^{3/2}$. Since $[D] = L^{1/2}$ [3], $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ consists of the terms with the dimension $L^{-1/2}$.

The discrete Hamiltonian includes terms which express the process where the string splits into n strings, i.e., $n+1$ - string interaction term, with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. However, by a brief examination of the one-step deformation, one can see that the most dominant contribution of the $n+1$ - string interaction term in the continuum limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is of the form

$$\epsilon^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int \int dl_1 \cdots dl_n \Psi^\dagger(l_1) \cdots \Psi^\dagger(l_n) \Psi(l_1 + \cdots l_n) (l_1 + \cdots l_n)^{n-1}.$$

Therefore the only terms which survive the continuum limit and contribute to $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ with the dimension $L^{-1/2}$ are the ones with $n = 1, 2$. Adding the tadpole term, by which the string disappears, the most general form of such a Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{disk} = & \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi^\dagger(l_1) \Psi^\dagger(l_2) \Psi(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi^\dagger(l_1) K(l_1, l_2) \Psi(l_2) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dl \rho(l) \Psi(l).\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

We can always normalize $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ so that the coefficient of the first term is 1, by rescaling the definition of the time D . The second term is the string kinetic term and the third term is the tadpole term.

It is remarkable that the above dimensional analysis is powerful enough to specify the form of the Hamiltonian as eq.(4). Although there may be infinitely many terms in the discrete Hamiltonian, only three of them survive the continuum limit. In order to fix $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$ completely, one should obtain the kernel $K(l_1, l_2)$ and $\rho(l)$. Here we would like to claim that the kinetic term is absent and the Hamiltonian takes the pregeometric form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{disk} = & \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi^\dagger(l_1) \Psi^\dagger(l_2) \Psi(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dl \rho(l) \Psi(l).\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Here we will not prove $K(l_1, l_2) = 0$ but rather proceed assuming $K(l_1, l_2) = 0$. The validity of this assumption will be checked later by showing that the pregeometric Hamiltonian can reproduce loop amplitudes of $c = 0$ string theory.

$\rho(l)$ can be derived by imposing the condition that eq.(3) gives the disk partition function of the pure gravity. Let us derive a Schwinger-Dyson equation from eq.(3). The existence of the large D limit in eq.(3) implies the following equation:

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial D} < 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}} \Psi^\dagger(l) | 0 > = 0.\tag{6}$$

This equation means that in the large D limit, $< 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}} \Psi^\dagger(l) | 0 >$ does not evolve any more. Hence eq.(6) corresponds to the Wheeler deWitt equation for the wave function $f(l) = \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} < 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}} \Psi^\dagger(l) | 0 >$ in the gauge eq.(1). Since $\mathcal{H}_{disk} | 0 > = 0$, eq.(6) becomes

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} < 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}} [\mathcal{H}_{disk}, \Psi^\dagger(l)] | 0 > = 0.\tag{7}$$

Using the fact that strings can only split and never merge in $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$, it is easy to prove the factorization

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} < 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}} \Psi^\dagger(l_1) \Psi^\dagger(l_2) | 0 > \\ = \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} < 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}} \Psi^\dagger(l_1) | 0 > \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} < 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}_{disk}} \Psi^\dagger(l_2) | 0 > .\end{aligned}$$

Thus one obtains the Schwinger-Dyson equation (or the Wheeler deWitt equation) for $f(l)$ from eq.(7) as

$$l \int_0^l dl_1 f(l_1) f(l - l_1) + \rho(l) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Notice that this Wheeler deWitt equation is nonlinear and inhomogeneous contrary to the conformal gauge Wheeler deWitt equation [6]. In the conformal gauge, the uniformization theorem for Riemann surfaces implies that the incident string never splits when one considers the time evolution of the boundary loop on the disk. However, in the gauge eq.(1), it seems that splitting and disappearing are the main part of the time evolution.

Laplace transforming eq.(8), we obtain

$$-\partial_\zeta(\tilde{f}(\zeta)^2) + \tilde{\rho}(\zeta) = 0,$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty dl e^{-\zeta l} f(l), \quad \tilde{\rho}(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty dl e^{-\zeta l} \rho(l).$$

This equation is easily solved as

$$\tilde{f}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\int^\zeta d\zeta' \rho(\zeta')}.$$

The result $\tilde{f}(\zeta) = (\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{t})\sqrt{\zeta + \sqrt{t}}$ of the matrix model [7] with t as the cosmological constant, completely fixes $\tilde{\rho}$:

$$\tilde{\rho}(\zeta) = 3\zeta^2 - \frac{3}{4}t,$$

or

$$\rho(l) = 3\delta''(l) - \frac{3}{4}t\delta(l).$$

The fact that $\rho(l)$ has its support only at $l = 0$ is quite natural because it means that only strings with zero length can disappear.

Here as a check of the validity of our disk Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$, let us derive the results in [3] using $\mathcal{H}_{disk}$. In that paper, they concentrated on so to speak *inclusive* processes in which they kept track of only *one* of the loops to which the incident loop split after the time evolution. Given the Hamiltonian eq.(5) describing the whole process, it is easy to see the Hamiltonian H for the *inclusive* process should be

$$H = 2 \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 f(l_1) \Psi^\dagger(l_2) \Psi(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2). \quad (9)$$

The “proper time evolution kernel” $\tilde{N}(\zeta, \zeta'; D; t)$ defined in [3] can be expressed as

$$\tilde{N}(\zeta, \zeta'; D; t) = \int_0^\infty dl \int_0^\infty dl' e^{-\zeta l - \zeta' l'} \frac{l'}{l} \langle 0 | \Psi(l') e^{-DH} \Psi^\dagger(l) | 0 \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Notice the factor $\frac{l'}{l}$ which is necessary because the exit loop is marked and the entrance one is not in $\tilde{N}(\zeta, \zeta'; D; t)$. From this expression, we obtain a formula

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial D} \tilde{N}(\zeta, \zeta'; D; t) = 2\tilde{f}(\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \tilde{N}(\zeta, \zeta'; D; t). \quad (11)$$

This coincides with the equation for $\tilde{N}(\zeta, \zeta'; D; t)$ up to a rescaling of D .

So far we have been considering only the disk amplitude where one incident string disappears after the time evolution. We will now go on to the amplitudes corresponding to connected surfaces with many boundaries. Generalizing the disk case, the time coordinate of a point can be defined as the geodesic distance of the point from the union of the boundary loops. With more than one incident loops, one should take into account the process in which two strings merge in addition to the two kinds of processes we have considered so far. The dimensional analysis makes it possible to deduce that the total Hamiltonian including such terms becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi^\dagger(l_1) \Psi^\dagger(l_2) \Psi(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2) \\ & + g \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 \Psi^\dagger(l_1 + l_2) \Psi(l_1) \Psi(l_2) l_1 l_2 \\ & + \int_0^\infty dl \rho(l) \Psi(l). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here g is the string coupling constant whose dimension is $[g] = L^{-5}$ as was derived in [1]. The partition function for the surfaces with n boundaries should be expressed as

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} < 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}} \Psi^\dagger(l_1) \cdots \Psi^\dagger(l_n) | 0 > . \quad (13)$$

Because of the merging process, this expression automatically includes the contributions from the surfaces with more than one handles. Expanding perturbatively in terms of g , the contribution from the connected surfaces with h handles and b boundaries is proportional to g^{-1+h+b} .

Thus we have derived the string field Hamiltonian from the dimensional analysis and the assumptions such as the absence of the kinetic term. It consists of three string vertices and a tadpole. It is reminiscent of the light-cone gauge string field theory of the critical strings. In the rest of this letter, we will show that this simple Hamiltonian can reproduce the loop (or string) amplitudes of $c = 0$ string theory.

Let us derive the macroscopic loop amplitudes [6] (or multi-string amplitudes) from $\mathcal{H}$. This may be done by calculating eq.(13) perturbatively in g . Here, we will rather derive the string field Schwinger-Dyson equations for the multi-string amplitudes. Imposing a physical boundary condition, it is possible to prove that the Schwinger-Dyson equations have unique solutions, if any. We will show that the loop amplitudes of

$c = 0$ string theory provide the unique solutions to the Schwinger-Dyson equations by demonstrating that the Schwinger-Dyson equations naturally yield the Virasoro constraints of the matrix model.

In order to do so, we construct a Schwinger-Dyson equation for the generating functional of the loops, i.e.,

$$Z(J) = \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}} e^{\int dl J(l) \Psi^\dagger(l)} | 0 \rangle. \quad (14)$$

The method to obtain a Schwinger-Dyson equation is the same as in eq.(6). The existence of the large D limit implies

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial D} \langle 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}} e^{\int dl J(l) \Psi^\dagger(l)} | 0 \rangle = 0.$$

From the view point of two dimensional gravity, this equation again has the meaning of the Wheeler deWitt equation. Using

$$\langle 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}} e^{\int dl J(l) \Psi^\dagger(l)} | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | e^{-D\mathcal{H}'} | 0 \rangle,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}' = e^{-\int dl J(l) \Psi^\dagger(l)} \mathcal{H} e^{\int dl J(l) \Psi^\dagger(l)},$$

the Schwinger-Dyson equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 J(l_1 + l_2) (l_1 + l_2) \frac{\delta}{\delta J(l_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(l_2)} Z(J) \\ & + g \int_0^\infty dl_1 \int_0^\infty dl_2 J(l_1) J(l_2) l_1 l_2 \frac{\delta}{\delta J(l_1 + l_2)} Z(J) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dl \rho(l) J(l) Z(J) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For later use, we will transform eq.(15) into an equation for the generating functional $\ln Z(J)$ of the connected amplitudes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dl J(l) \left\{ l \int_0^l dl' J(l') \left[\frac{\delta^2 \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l') \delta J(l - l')} + \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l)} \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l - l')} \right] \right. \\ & \quad + gl \int_0^\infty dl' J(l') l' \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l + l')} \\ & \quad \left. + \rho(l) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We regard $\ln Z(J)$ as the generating functional of the connected correlation functions of loop operators $w(l)$, i.e.,

$$\ln Z(J) = \langle e^{\int dl J(l) w(l)} \rangle. \quad (17)$$

The connected loop amplitude $\langle w(l_1) \cdots w(l_n) \rangle$ can be expressed as

$$\langle w(l_1) \cdots w(l_n) \rangle = \frac{\delta^n \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l_1) \cdots \delta J(l_n)} \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (18)$$

Eq.(16) can be regarded as the generating functional of Schwinger-Dyson equations. By differentiating this equation several times and putting $J = 0$ after that, one obtains an equation for connected loop amplitudes. The connected loop amplitudes can be expanded in terms of g as

$$\langle w(l_1) \cdots w(l_n) \rangle = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} g^{n-1+h} \langle w(l_1) \cdots w(l_n) \rangle_h. \quad (19)$$

Here $\langle w(l_1) \cdots w(l_n) \rangle_h$ is the contribution from the surfaces with h handles. Substituting eq.(19) into eq.(16), one obtains equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{\zeta_k} (\langle \tilde{w}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{w}(\zeta_n) \rangle_h \langle \tilde{w}(\zeta_k) \rangle_0) \\ = \text{sum of the terms made from } \langle \tilde{w}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{w}(\zeta_m) \rangle_{h'} \\ (m < n, h = h' \text{ or } m = n + 1, h' = h - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\langle \tilde{w}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{w}(\zeta_n) \rangle_h$ is the Laplace transform

$$\langle \tilde{w}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{w}(\zeta_n) \rangle_h = \int_0^\infty dl_1 e^{-\zeta_1 l_1} \cdots \int_0^\infty dl_n e^{-\zeta_n l_n} \langle w(l_1) \cdots w(l_n) \rangle_h.$$

Therefore, in principle, it is possible to solve all these equations inductively starting from the amplitudes with fewer loops and handles.

In order to solve each of these Schwinger-Dyson-Wheeler-deWitt equations, we should impose an appropriate boundary condition. Here we will require that $\langle w(l_1) \cdots w(l_n) \rangle$ vanishes when any of l_i goes to infinity. Considering the loop amplitudes as the wave function of two dimensional quantum gravity corresponding to a multi-loop (or multi-universe) state, it is natural to impose such a condition [6]. This condition implies that $\langle \tilde{w}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{w}(\zeta_n) \rangle_h$ does not have any singularities when the real parts of all the ζ_i are positive. It is possible to show that if the equation of the form eq.(20) has a solution $\langle \tilde{w}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{w}(\zeta_n) \rangle_h$ satisfying such a boundary condition, the solution is unique.

Thus eq.(16) has a unique solution, if any. We would like to show that the loop amplitudes of $c = 0$ string theory provide the unique solution to eq.(16). Notice that if $Z(J)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} l \int_0^l dl' J(l') \left[\frac{\delta^2 \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l') \delta J(l-l')} + \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l)} \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l-l')} \right] \\ + gl \int_0^\infty dl' J(l') l' \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l+l')} \\ + \rho(l) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

it is a solution of eq.(16). Of course, eq.(21) is not totally equivalent to eq.(16). Eq.(16) is obtained if one symmetrizes eq.(21) over all the incident loops. However, because of the uniqueness of the solution, if eq.(21) has a solution satisfying the boundary condition, it is also the unique solution of eq.(16). Eq.(21) looks quite similar to the loop equation of the matrix model. Indeed, in the following, we will derive the Virasoro constraints [4] of $c = 0$ string theory from this equation.

In [4], the authors transform the loop equation into the relations between the correlation functions of the local operators $\mathcal{O}_n$, which appear in the expansion of the loop operator as

$$w(l) = g \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{l^{n+1/2}}{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})} \mathcal{O}_n. \quad (22)$$

The factor g on the right hand side is put so that the insertions of local operators do not change the order in g . In order to drive equations for amplitudes with insertions of such local operators, we should choose the source $J(l)$ so that

$$\int_0^\infty dl J(l) l^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = g^{-1} \Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2}) \mu_n. \quad (23)$$

Then the generating functional $\ln Z(J)$ can be considered as the generating functional of connected correlation functions of the local operators:

$$\ln Z(J) = \langle e^{\sum \mu_n \mathcal{O}_n} \rangle.$$

Therefore substituting eq.(23) into eq.(21), we can obtain relations between the correlation functions of the local operators. One thing one should notice in doing so is that the loop operator $w(l)$ cannot always be expanded as eq.(22). Since the amplitudes for the disk and the cylinder have a special part which cannot be written as eq.(22), we have the following ansatz for the solution of eq.(21):

$$\frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta J(l)} = \frac{l^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{\Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})} - \frac{3t}{8} \frac{l^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{g}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dl' J(l') \frac{\sqrt{ll'}}{l+l'} + g \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{l^{n+1/2}}{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})} \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta \mu_n}.$$

Substituting this, the Laplace transform of the Schwinger-Dyson equation eq.(21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & -\partial_\zeta [(\zeta^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{3t}{8} \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} + g \sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta^{-n-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta \mu_n})^2 + \frac{g}{16} \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \\ & + g^2 \sum_{n, m \geq 0} \zeta^{-n-m-3} \frac{\delta^2 \ln Z(J)}{\delta \mu_n \delta \mu_m} \\ & + (\frac{\mu_0^2}{16} - \frac{3t}{16} \mu_0) \frac{1}{\zeta} + g \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\delta \ln Z(J)}{\delta \mu_n} \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \zeta^{-n+m-2} (m + \frac{1}{2}) \mu_m] \\ & + 3\zeta^2 - \frac{3}{4}t = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Since the right hand side of eq.(24) is in the form of the Laurent expansion in terms of ζ^{-1} , each coefficient of the expansion should vanish. Thus we obtain the following infinite number of equations.

$$2\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_1} = -\frac{1}{g}\left(\frac{3t}{8} - \frac{\mu_0}{2}\right)^2 Z - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \mu_n \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_{n-1}}, \quad (25)$$

$$2\left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_2} - \frac{3t}{8} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_0}\right) = -\frac{1}{16} Z - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \mu_n \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_n}, \quad (26)$$

$$2\left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_{p+3}} - \frac{3t}{8} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_{p+1}}\right) = -g \sum_{n=0}^p \frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial \mu_n \mu_{p-n}} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \mu_n \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mu_{n+p+1}} \quad (27)$$

$(p \geq 0).$

These equations coincide with the Virasoro constraints in [4] up to some rescalings of parameters. Therefore the loop amplitudes of two dimensional gravity provide the unique solution of eq.(21).

To sum up, we have derived all the string (or loop) amplitudes in $c = 0$ string theory (or pure gravity) from our string field Hamiltonian. It is remarkable that the *string field* Schwinger-Dyson equation yields the Virasoro constraints which are equivalent to the *matrix model* Schwinger-Dyson equation. The reason is simple if one considers at the discretized level. The matrix model Schwinger-Dyson equation describes how a loop amplitude behaves when one deforms the loop at one link on the loop. The string field Schwinger-Dyson equation describes how a loop amplitude behaves when one deforms all the incident loops one-step forward in the sense of [3]. It is intuitively clear that in the continuum limit the latter one is obtained if we integrate the former one around the loop and symmetrize over the incident loops. The advantage of our string field Hamiltonian is that it is related to the time coordinate inherent in the matrix model formulation of noncritical string theories. This makes the string field Schwinger-Dyson equation be related to the matrix model Schwinger-Dyson equation. Moreover, the physical meaning of the Virasoro constraints is now clear. They are the Wheeler deWitt equations of two dimensional gravity in the ADM-type gauge eq.(1).

We have derived the string field Hamiltonian for $c = 0$ string theory from which one can reproduce all the known results of $c = 0$ string theory. It implies that our string field theory is good enough to cover the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces of all genera only once, with the three string vertices and the tadpole term. We expect that the same method can be applied to the critical strings. In order to do so, one should study the string theory with some matter fields on the worldsheet. We will report on this problem elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank N.Kawamoto, T.Mogami, Y.Okamoto, Y.Watabiki and T.Yukawa for useful discussions and comments.

References

- [1] E.Br ezin and V.Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236**(1990)144;
M.Douglas and S.Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B335**(1990)635;
D.Gross and A.Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**(1990)127; Nucl. Phys. **B340**(1990)333.
- [2] M.Kaku and K.Kikkawa, Phys. Rev. **D10**(1974)1110;1823;
W.Siegel, Phys. Lett. **B151**(1985)391;396;
E.Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B268**(1986)253;
H.Hata, K.Itoh, T.Kugo, H.Kunitomo and K.Ogawa, Phys. Lett. **B172**(1986)186;195;
A.Neveu and P.West, Phys. Lett. **B168**(1986)192.
- [3] H.Kawai, N.Kawamoto, T.Mogami and Y.Watabiki, Phys. Lett. **B306**(1993)19.
- [4] M.Fukuma, H.Kawai and R.Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6**(1991)1385;
R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde and H.Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B348**(1991)435.
- [5] V.G.Knizhnik, A.M.Polyakov and A.B.Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**(1988)819;
F.David, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**(1988)1651;
J.Distler and H.Kawai, Nucl. Phys. **B321**(1989)509.
- [6] G.Moore, N.Seiberg and M.Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. **B362**(1991) 665.
- [7] E.Br ezin, C.Itzykson, G.Parisi and J.B.Zuber, Commun. Math. Phys. **59**(1978)35.